

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/ <del>99</del> 8,	507 12/2	6/97 BAUER	А	1704345
Γ		OM60 (0.645	EXAM	MINER
QM02/0615 WILLIAM J. SAPONE			FORD, J	
COLEMAN	I SUDOL SAP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
14TH FL	RD AVENUE OOR K NY 10017		3743 DATE MAILED:	06/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/	998507	Bauer			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Food	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) 🛣	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>4-9</u> -01		`			
2a) <u></u> ☐	_	This action is	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 5,6, is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6,8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)□ 8) <b>X</b>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims 5,60 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. Ş 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment	(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  20) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 08/998507

Art Unit: 3743

An RCE has been established as if April 9, 2001. A substitute specification (Paper No. 23) was received on the same date. The invention is somewhat better understood but this new specification is far from a model of clarity. Note, applicant has not cancelled claims 5, 6, 8, 37, 39, 41 or 42 or otherwise amended them to depend from non-cancelled claims.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: first species of regulator for the exhaust air motor wherein it is regulated in dependence on outside air temperature, second species of regulator for the same wherein it is regulated in dependence on supply air temperature,

third species of regulator for the same wherein it is regulated in dependence on supply air pressure,

fourth species of regulator for the same wherein it is regulated in dependence on supply air temperature and supply air pressure,

fifth species of regulator for the same wherein it is regulated in dependence on supply air temperature and outside air temperature.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 44, 45 and 51-59 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Art Unit: 3743

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number (703) 308-2636.

John K. Ford Primary Examiner

June 8, 2001

J. Ford/els